

Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind DCO Application

Outline Plans

Design Approach Document

Date: March 2024

T...

Document Reference: 8.18 Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(Rev 1.0



Company:		Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind		Asset:		Whole	Asset	
Project:		Wł	Whole Wind Farm		Sub Project/Package:		Whole /	Asset
Document Title or Description:		De	Design Approach Document					
Internal Document Number:		PP:	PP1-ODOW-DEV-CS-REP-0108		3 rd Party Doc No (If applicable):		N/A	
Outer Dowsing Off information in this c		ffsho doc	ore Wind accepts sument nor for any	no liability for loss or damage	or the accurate arising from	acy or c in the use	ompleter of such i	ness of the nformation.
Rev No.	Date		Status / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Review	ved by	Approved by
V1.0	March 2024		DCO Application	ODOW	ODOW	Shephe Wedde	erd and rburn	ODOW



Table of Contents

A	cronyı	yms & Definitions	5
	Abbro	reviations / Acronyms	5
	Term	ninology	5
1	Exe	xecutive Summary	8
2	De	esign Evolution Process	9
	2.1	Vision	9
	2.2	Objectives	9
	2.3	Design Principles	9
	2.3	3.1 Beneficial Outcomes	10
3	The	he Key building blocks of "good design"	13
	3.1	Key design considerations	13
	3.2	National Policy Statements	20
4	De	esign Approach	22
	4.1	Key Project Elements and Design Processes	22
	4.2	Consultation Phases and Key design refinements	22
5	The	he Onshore Substation (OnSS) Design Review Process	28
	5.1	The Design Review Process	28
	5.2	Local Design Panel	28
	5.2	2.1 Design Aspects and Design Review Panel Influence	31
	5.3	Project Design Champion	31
	5.4	External Design Review	32
A	nnex A	A Local Design Panel Kick off meeting (LDP1)	35

Table of Tables

Table 2.1 The Project's approach to "good design"	13
Table 2.2 Design compliance with relevant NPS's	20
Table 3.1 Onshore Project Refinement and key Consultation Feedback in relation to design elemen	ıts
	25

Table of Figures



Table of Plates

Plate 1.1	The Four Design Principles for National Infrastructure (National Infrastructure Commission	on,
February	⁷ 2020)	8
Plate 3.1	Consultation Phases and Design Development	24
Plate 4.1	The representatives on the Local Design Panel	29
Plate 4.2	Species identified for planting scheme	34



Acronyms & Definitions

Abbreviations / Acronyms

Acronym	Expanded name	
DAD	Design Approach Document	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DPS	Design Principles Statement	
ECC	Export Cable Corridor	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EPP	Evidence Plan Process	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ETG	Expert Topic Group	
GT R4	The Applicant. The special project vehicle created in partnership between Corio Generation (a	
Ltd	portfolio company of Macquarie Asset Management operating on a standalone basis), Gulf Energy	
	Development and TotalEnergies	
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
IDB	Internal Drainage Board	
NGSS	National Grid Onshore Substation	
NPS	National Policy Statement	
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project	
ODOW	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (The Project)	
OnSS	Onshore Substation	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
TJB	Transition Joint Bay	

Terminology

Term	Definition		
400kV cables	High-voltage cables linking the OnSS to the NGSS.		
400kV cable corridor	The 400kV cable corridor is the area within which the 400kV cables connecting the OnSS to the NGSS will be situated.		
The Applicant	GT R4 Ltd. The Applicant making the application for a DCO. The Applicant is GT R4 Limited (a joint venture between Corio Generation (a portfolio company of Macquarie Asset Management operating on a standalone basis), TotalEnergies and Gulf Energy Development), trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind. The Project is being developed by Corio Generation (a portfolio company of Macquarie Asset Management operating on a standalone basis), TotalEnergies and GULF Energy Development.		
Baseline	The status of the environment at the time of assessment without the development in place.		
Connection Area	An indicative search area for the NGSS.		
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).		
Effect	Term used to express the consequence of an impact. The significance of an effect is determined by correlating the magnitude of the impact with		



lerm	Definition
	the sensitivity of the receptor, in accordance with defined significance criteria.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Environmental Statement (ES)	The suite of documents that detail the processes and results of the EIA.
Haul Road	The track within the onshore ECC which the construction traffic would use to facilitate construction.
Impact	An impact to the receiving environment is defined as any change to its baseline condition, either adverse or beneficial.
Joint bays	An excavation formed with a buried concrete slab at sufficient depth to enable the jointing of high voltage power cables.
Landfall	The location at the land-sea interface where the offshore export cables and fibre optic cables will come ashore.
Link boxes	Underground metal chamber placed within a plastic and/or concrete pit where the metal sheaths between adjacent export cable sections are connected and earthed.
Mitigation	Mitigation measures are commitments made by the Project to reduce and/or eliminate the potential for significant effects to arise as a result of the Project. Mitigation measures can be embedded (part of the project design) or secondarily added to reduce impacts in the case of potentially significant effects.
National Grid Onshore Substation (NGSS)	The National Grid substation and associated enabling works to be developed by the National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) into which the Project's 400kV Cables would connect.
National Policy Statement (NPS)	A document setting out national policy against which proposals for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) will be assessed and decided upon
Onshore Export Cable Corridor (ECC)	The Onshore Export Cable Corridor (Onshore ECC) is the area within which, the export cables are routed within to the landfall to the onshore substation will be situated.
Onshore substation (OnSS)	The Project's onshore HVAC substation, containing electrical equipment, control buildings, lightning protection masts, communications masts, access, fencing and other associated equipment, structures or buildings; to enable connection to the National Grid
Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (ODOW)	The Project.
Order Limits	The area subject to the application for development consent. The limits shown on the works plans within which the Project may be carried out.
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	The PEIR was written in the style of a draft Environmental Statement (ES) and provided information to support and inform the statutory consultation process during the pre-application phase.
The Project	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind, an offshore wind generating station together with associated onshore and offshore infrastructure.



Term	Definition
Project Design Envelope	A description of the range of possible elements that make up the Project's design options under consideration, as set out in detail in the project description. This envelope is used to define the Project for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) purposes when the exact engineering parameters are not yet known. This is also often referred to as the "Rochdale Envelope" approach.
Receptor	A distinct part of the environment on which effects could occur and can be the subject of specific assessments. Examples of receptors include species (or groups) of animals or plants, people (often categorised further such as 'residential' or those using areas for amenity or recreation), watercourses etc.
Statutory consultee	Organisations that are required to be consulted by the Applicant, the Local Planning Authorities and/or The Planning Inspectorate during the pre-application and/or examination phases, and who also have a statutory responsibility in some form that may be relevant to the Project and the DCO application. This includes those bodies and interests prescribed under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008.
Transition Joint Bay (TJBs)	The offshore and onshore cable circuits are jointed on the landward side of the sea defences/beach in a Transition Joint Bay (TJB). The TJB is an underground chamber constructed of reinforced concrete which provides a secure and stable environment for the cable.
Trenched technique	Trenching is a construction excavation technique that involves digging a trench in the ground for the installation, maintenance, or inspection of pipelines, conduits, or cables.
Trenchless technique	Trenchless technology is an underground construction method of installing, repairing and renewing underground pipes, ducts and cables using techniques which minimize or eliminate the need for excavation. Trenchless technologies involve methods of new pipe installation with minimum surface and environmental disruptions. These techniques may include Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD), thrust boring, auger boring, and pipe ramming, which allow ducts to be installed under an obstruction without breaking the open ground and digging a trench.



1 Executive Summary

"Environmental stewardship and community engagement are central to Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind's vision. Our aim is **to have a long term positive environmental impact** through responsible design optimisation of the project, honest and transparent engagement with local communities and stakeholders, and proactive mitigation solutions."

- Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind, 2021

- "Good design" has been at the forefront of decision making throughout the evolution of the Project; strongly influencing site selection and the design commitments and principles which the Applicant has been able to reach at this stage. This Design Approach Document (DAD) summarises the key processes, consideration of design solutions and decisions made to date that have informed the design principles and commitments, including how these will be implemented through to detailed design.
- 2. This DAD is supported by a number of key documents; the key design related aspects of which have been summarised and referenced throughout this document. While the design of the Project is a cornerstone to the project's development phases and design decisions to date and therefore it could be argued that much of the Environment Statement (ES) supports this DAD, a handful of key documents submitted with the Application are considered to have the most relevance:
 - Design Principles Statement (DPS) (document 8.19);
 - Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) (document 8.10);
 - ES Chapter 4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (document 6.1.4);
 - ES Chapter 27 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) (document 6.1.27);
 - Consultation Report (document 5.1); and
 - The Planning Statement (document 9.1).
- 3. The Design Principles Statement outlines how the various elements of the project have been integrated into a holistic design, how the design has evolved and how the project will add value by positively creating a sense of place as defined by the National infrastructure Commission guidance.





Climate Mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to climate change

People Reflect what society wants and share benefits widely



Place Provide a sense of identity and improve our environment



Value Achieve multiple benefits and solve problems well

Plate 1.1 The Four Design Principles for National Infrastructure (National Infrastructure Commission, February 2020)



2 Design Evolution Process

2.1 Vision

4. The project has had a clear Project vision from its inception, as demonstrated throughout this DAD and supporting documents; this vision has been reinforced throughout the design development.

"Our next generation offshore wind farm will help form the backbone of the UK's net-zero energy system, engaging communities, delivering opportunities, and empowering transformational environmental change."

2.2 Objectives

5. The Project developed the below objectives in line with their vision:

"Our objective is to deliver renewable electricity equivalent to the annual electricity consumption of over 1.6 million households and play a critical role in achieving the UK Government's ambition to deliver 50 GW of offshore wind by 2030 and to achieve net zero by 2050."

"Environmental stewardship and community engagement are central to Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind's vision. Our aim is to have a long term positive environmental impact through responsible design optimisation of the project, honest and transparent engagement with local communities and stakeholders, and proactive mitigation solutions."

2.3 Design Principles

6. The Design Principles Statement sets out the key design principles adopted by the Project as well as the design elements that will be agreed through the Design Review Process and how these will be implemented throughout the detailed design of the Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (hereafter referred to as 'the Project') onshore substation (OnSS).

Site Selection

7. The siting of the Project's landfall, onshore ECC and OnSS have incorporated design considerations from the outset. The Project took a reactive and dynamic approach to the site selection process in both the consideration of alternatives and in the final refinement of the Order Limits for both the offshore and onshore elements of the Project. While there are a multitude of factors that are considered in this process, these can be summarised into three driving principles:



- Engineering considerations what infrastructure is required to achieve the Project's purpose.
- Environmental considerations how can the engineering be achieved to avoid or minimise adverse impacts on the environment without compromising the Project's overall purpose.
- Consultation how has the Project taken on board the feedback from stakeholders and the local communities to deliver the Project in best possible way.
- Sense of Place how the Project can create a distinctive place that delivers beneficial spatial outcomes for the local community.



Design Choices

- 8. Each project element is influenced by different considerations, it is the governing and project adopted processes that ensure the design choices made in relation to each of these elements align with the Project's Vision and objectives. Section 4.1 outlines the key processes and influencing factors for each of these elements in relation to design.
- 9. The Design Principles Statement (DPS) sets out the key design principles adopted by the Project in reference to the OnSS as well as the design elements that will be agreed through the Design Review Process and how these will be implemented throughout the detailed design of the Project.

2.3.1 Beneficial Outcomes

- 10. The Project's purpose is to provide c. 1.5GW of renewable energy to the UK. The Project's overarching aim is to deliver this energy in the most sustainable, cost effective and environmentally and socially sensitive way. Such that the local communities that have the potential to be impacted by the construction and operation of the Project, also play a key role in the project's development and design phases.
- 11. It is acknowledged within the NPS EN-1 (DESNZ, November 2023) that *"that the nature of much energy infrastructure development will often limit the extent to which it can contribute to the enhancement of the quality of the area"*.
- 12. The Project has aimed to minimise adverse impacts as much as practicable throughout the EIA and consultation processes. While it is the responsibility of the Project to ensure the development of the Project results in not only sustainable, but affordable energy, it also recognized that is it the responsibility of the Project to pursue beneficial impacts where practicable.
- 13. The beneficial outcomes to the project are therefore considered to be three-fold. Ultimately, the purpose of the Project is to realise the below outcomes:



- Enhance the UK's energy security;
- delivering on the government's renewable energy targets; and,
- helping to address the climate emergency.
- 14. The above outcomes are considered a benefit to the UK as a whole and in realizing these government energy targets this will ultimately benefit the energy consumer with respect to the "cost to consumer", noting the competitive pricing of the offshore wind. It is, however recognized by the Project that there is a need to consider benefits to those local communities who may be directly impacted by the project's development in their local area.
- 15. Below are some examples of other ways in which the Project will benefit the UK and the local area:

2.3.1.1 Local Area benefits

- Environmental stewardship and community engagement are central to Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind's vision. Our aim is to have a long term positive environmental impact through responsible design optimisation of the project, honest and transparent engagement with local communities and stakeholders, and proactive mitigation solutions. While the purpose of the planting scheme is to establish a visual screen for the OnSS, in doing this the Project will be adding 130,000 trees and shrubs to the Lincolnshire landscape. This helps connect wildlife corridors, enhance the visual amenity of the landscape of the Surfleet area and improve the local tree equity score1 (a Woodland Trust initiative). The nearest tree equity score to the substation area is 70 for South Holland as it only has 12% canopy cover and is listed as high priority.
- The Project will pursue opportunities to explore whether, taking consideration of the existing land use of the area and the landowner agreements in place, within this newly created environment (the planting scheme), there are further opportunities for local benefit such as; public art; signposting and interpretation facilities.
- The Project have committed to pursuing opportunities with respect to Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) by establishing partnerships with local nature conservation bodies.
- The Project has also partnered with local organisations to fund local conservation projects, for example with the Boston Woods Trust to preserve wildflower meadows for the benefit and enjoyment of the local population.
- During the Construction phase it is estimated there could be 1,810 UK jobs created on average over a three year period. During the operations phase it is estimated that there will be 560 UK based jobs for a period of 35 years. Many of these jobs will be available to those in the local area.

¹ <u>https://uk.treeequityscore.org/map#9.44/52.8399/0.0056</u>



 Regular presence of the team in the area and a commitment to volunteering will help bolster STEM skills across the project zone while also raising awareness of the career opportunities available in renewable energy.

2.3.1.2 National benefits

- Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind will invest approximately £5-7billion across the lifetime of the project, 45-60% of which could be spent in the UK, thus providing significant value to the UK while also boosting the wider offshore supply chain and improving the potential for local content in future projects.
- There are studies to show that access to well-paid employment results in a ripple effect, also benefitting local businesses as employees spend their salary locally. It is anticipated therefore that The Project will generate significant Gross Value Add for the UK.
- Investment into local supply chain and jobs will provide skill development opportunities that will bolster the UK talent pool available to other jobs, thus creating broader value.



3 The Key building blocks of "good design"

3.1 Key design considerations

16. The Project are dedicated to achieving all the building blocks that constitute "good design". A strong foundation of engagement and design refinement has been laid by the Project to date and the key design approaches as outlined in Table 3.1 set the scene for how the Project intend for this to be achieved. The design considerations below provided the starting point for establishing the design process to be followed and the development of Design Principles for the Project.

Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example
	Environmental stewardship	 We have proposed the creation and long-term maintenance of: 130,000 trees and hedgerows added to the Lincolnshire landscape. 19 hectares will be planted, equivalent to 27 football fields with long term management plan. 1.6 miles of Hedgerow containing diverse species that support bats, birds and other species.
Vision		 The commitment to c. 216 trenchless crossings has also meant the Project has managed to avoid the removal of up to 17,280m of hedgerows along the Onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor. The project has committed to no construction works during the key wintering bird period within a minimum of 400m of the Wash Special Protection Area (SPA).
	Honest & Transparent Community engagement.	 Four phases of Project wide consultation and a targeted consultation 16 Public Information Days, 6 Rounds of Community Liaison Group (CLG) Meetings (4 CLGs) and over 50,000 leaflets issued to local residents. Where requested, over 90% of feedback forms identified our consultation information and engagement as "Just Right" Reactive to feedback; this is demonstrated by the number of rounds of consultation, we have listened, refined and re-consulted at each phase of refinement.

Table 3.1 The Project's approach to "good design"



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example
	Our aim is to have a long term positive environmental impact through responsible design optimisation	 The Project have contracted a team of specialists across all of the various disciplines of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and offshore wind farm development. The team have strived to gain as much knowledge as possible to optimise the Project Design through experience and lessons learned. For example: Appointment of a local drainage specialist. Appointment of an onshore construction engineer with years of experience working on the neighbouring Triton Knoll Project. Appointment of a soils specialist Consideration and active responsiveness to local feedback regarding ground conditions leading to, analysis, review, proposal, consultation, and adoption of an alternative onshore ECC route.
	Proactive mitigation solutions	 The Project has taken the approach of, wherever practicable; Mitigation by design. The Project design has been led from the outset by assessment of environmental receptors and potential impacts; engineering considerations and project feasibility are key factors in the optimisation of any route or siting proposals that have been defined by key environmental considerations. Some examples of proactive mitigation solutions: Adoption of alternative onshore ECC Commitment to adopt trenchless techniques on all major roads, rivers and IDB owned and managed drains Commitment to utilise trenchless techniques at an area of archaeological interest (Slackholme Village) The Onshore ECC has been designed to follow existing field boundaries wherever practicable to reduce severance to agricultural land.
Skills	Expertise from around the world, across the UK, Lincolnshire including the locals themselves!	 The Project is a joint venture between TotalEnergies, Corio Generation (a portfolio company of Macquarie Asset Management operating on a standalone basis) and Gulf Energy Development. The in- house Project team made up of over 50 specialists, all of whom are champions of the Project's vision. A highly experienced team of EIA Consultants (GoBe Consultants (APEM Group) and SLR Consulting, were contracted from the Project's inception to help guide the evolution of the Project and its Design. The consultants were appointed on the basis of their vast



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example
		experience in offshore wind project development with a view to bring on board as much experience and lessons learned as possible to ensure our Project is supported by this EIA expertise.
		Local specialists have been contracted and appointed at key stages in the Project's development. Including a local drainage specialist, a soils specialist and an onshore construction engineer with years of experience working on the neighboring Triton Knoll Project.
		Statutory bodies such as the county council and LPAs, environmental nature conservation bodies along with many more. Through the Project's Evidence Plan Process and Section 42 Statutory Consultation phases the Project has sought technical advice that has helped to shape the project's design from the very earliest phases.
		The local people and communities of Lincolnshire! Local expertise and advice was sought from the local residents and communities including potentially affected landowners and local interested parties that have lived and worked in the region for years.
		The siting of the Project's landfall, onshore ECC and OnSS have incorporated design considerations from the outset. The Project took a reactive and dynamic approach to the site selection process in both the consideration of alternatives and in the final refinement of the Order Limits for both the offshore and onshore elements of the Project.
Analysis & Integration	To ensure "good design" is considered from the outset	An underpinning design phase that enabled the first phase and influenced the following iterations of the project boundaries was the environmental constraints mapping which was undertaken at the outset and ensured that the Project was designed to avoid or minimise impacts as much as reasonably practicable from the initial design through to continue at each phase of refinement. A balancing act was sought to ensure that the individual impacts and receptors were considered in line with the Project's overall site selection criteria.
		It was concluded that to ensure "good design" the Project must follow the three driving principles of engineering



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example
		considerations, environmental considerations and consultation until a refined solution was identified.
Response	Managing Significant effects	As is often the case for similar projects in the UK the key significant effect that is often not able to be readily mitigated is Landscape and Visual. Due, however, to the sensitive site selection process that has taken account of the relative positioning of the OnSS within the defined search zone, coupled with the Project's commitment to minimise landscape and visual impacts as well as champion the environment and habitat creation, the landscaping architects were able to work with the current landscape and the existing screening to remove all significant effects after 15 years, and at a number of locations these are likely to be mitigated between Year 5 and 10. See ES Chapter 27 Landscape and Visual Assessment (document 6.1.27) for more information. Surfleet Marsh (where the OnSS is sited) and the surrounding area is characterised by flat and low-lying arable farmland that has been reclaimed from marshland. There is also limited woodland and hedgerow cover, leaving much of this area onen and exposed
		This landscape poses some challenges in relation to producing an effective screen; the Project have worked with this characterisation to develop their proposals. As a result of the Project's siting work and commitment to pursue offsite planting; the effectiveness of placing screening further away from the OnSS and nearer to the receptor(s) has led to effective screening after the planting has established (from as early as 5 years).
		scheme as presented at the Autumn Consultation; the Project also recognise that all consultation responses in relation to this infrastructure have sought to screen it and an underlying concern has been how this could be achieved given the flat landscape. The Project took the feedback of the community on board when designing the landscaping



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example
		scheme. Further feedback from the OnSS Design Review Process (DRP) (Section 5) kick off meeting held in February 2024 included feedback from Lincolnshire County Council in relation to the extensiveness of the Planting scheme against the existing landscape character and whether the Project might consider reducing this, alongside feedback from landowners with respect to the Potential Impacts on agriculture (which is considered to be both beneficial such as in relation to protection against soil erosion and flood resilience, and adverse in relation to attracting birds that could impact crop yield). The Project will therefore develop the detailed design of the mitigation in line with DRP.
		The specific query on whether 'screening' as opposed to 'celebrating' the OnSS was considered the preferred approach at the February 2024 meeting with which the members of the LDP present confirmed it was.
Our design evolution	How has your design evolved?	The Design evolution process is outlined in Section 2. These key phases that inform the design development process have been considered by the Project from the outset. This is supported by the Design Principles Statement (DPS) (document 8.19) and ES Chapter 4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives.
Delivery of the final design and the Design Principles	How will the final design be delivered?	The DPS includes a Roadmap to how the Project's Design Principles will be adhered to throughout the detailed design phases through to implementation of the design. The DPS is secured within the DCO and outlines the design principles that will be adhered to when undertaking detailed design. Updates to the DPS will be made if/ where considered required throughout examination. The final design will be compliant with the DPS with the final design being subject to approval by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with Lincolnshire County Council) in accordance with the relevant DCO Requirement, prior to the commencement of the construction works. The Project has appointed a Local Design Panel as part of the Design Review Process (Section 5) being undertaken. The Design Review Process is a mechanism secured within the DCO to ensure the continued engagement with the local community following the DCO Application and through to detailed design. The local Design Panel is primarily comprised of the members who sat on the Project's OnSS Community Liaison Group (CLG). To ensure representation across the



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achievement Example			
		specialist disciplines a number of technical representatives (such as LVIA consultants) also sit on the panel to help facilitate discussion and provide an expert view on relevant design considerations. See Section 5 of this document for further information.			
Place	How will the Project provide a sense of identity and improve our environment	The Project have been active and visible within the local community since the initial Phase 1 Consultation (Project Launch) in October/November 2022.			
		As described in 4.2 the Project has undertaken five Statutory Consultation phases, four phases of which were Project wide and the most recent of which was an onshore targeted consultation. The Project have been dedicated to ensuring their presence in the local areas to create an open and transparent relationship with the community. This engagement has included 20 Public Information Days (PIDs) and 20 Community Liaison Groups (CLGs).			
		The relationships built over this time with the community has set the foundations for the next phases of the design to be approached holistically to ensure the overall construction presence of the Project and the enduring presence of the OnSS is sympathetic with the needs of the local community. The Project are dedicated to maintaining this positive relationship throughout the remaining development phases of this Project including detailed design and construction.			
		The landscaping proposals have taken consideration of the existing landscape with a purpose of enriching the existing natural features of the Surfleet area. As well as for the purposes of screening, the Project will be adding approximately 130,000 trees and hedgerows added to the Surfleet area bolstering biodiversity, the recovery of nature corridors across southern Lincolnshire.			
NPSs	How have the requirements for good design in the relevant NPS(s) been met?	The NPSs relevant to good design are outlined in Section 2.4.			
NIC Principles	How has the Project met the NIC four principles of good design	The NIC Principles as outlined in Plate 1.1. Climate: Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind is a circa. 1.5GW Project and the design will optimise the generation of renewable energy to displace carbon emissions and help meet national and			



Design Approach	Design Consideration	Achieve	Achievement Example		
			international carbon reduction and renewable energy targets.		
		\sim	People: Listening to the local communities and involving them in the Project's evolution from the outset has enabled us to design the Project with the local community in mind.		
			Place: The commitments the Project have made in relation to their landscaping scheme and the design review process is targeted at enhancing the local environment and supporting the sense of identity within the landscape.		
		•	Value: The Project is designed to achieve multiple benefits primarily related to the landscaping in the OnSS area providing additional habitat & connectivity, protection against soil erosion, storage of carbon and many more! The overall aim of the Project is to deliver 1.5GW of renewable energy, enhancing the UKs energy security, delivering on the government's renewable energy targets and helping to address the climate emergency.		



3.2 National Policy Statements

- 17. The Project is a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project, as defined by the Planning Act 2008, under which an application for consent will be made in order to obtain a Development Consent Order (DCO), authorising the Project. Section 10 of the Planning Act 2008 applies to the formulation of National Policy Statements (NPS)'s by the Secretary of State (SoS). The SOS is under a duty when formulating the policy to have regard to the desirability of achieving good design.
- 18. Existing policy for the Project, set out within the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS-EN-1, DESNZ, November 2023) and National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3 DESNZ, November 2023), makes clear the requirements for good design in energy projects, with key considerations including those outlined in Table 3.2.

NPS	Relevant Text	Where is this addressed
	"The visual appearance of a building, structure, or piece of infrastructure, and how it relates to the landscape it sits within, is sometimes considered to be the most important factor in good design. But high quality and inclusive design goes far beyond aesthetic considerations. The functionality of an object – be it a building or other type of infrastructure – including fitness for purpose and sustainability, is equally important.	 Design Principles Statement (DPS) (document 8.19)
EN-1 Paragraph 4.7.1	Applying good design to energy projects should produce sustainable infrastructure sensitive to place, including impacts on heritage, efficient in the use of natural resources, including land-use, and energy used in their construction and operation, matched by an appearance that demonstrates good aesthetic as far as possible. It is acknowledged, however that the nature of energy infrastructure development will often limit the extent to which it can contribute to the enhancement of the quality of the area."	
EN-1 Paragraph 4.7.10	"In the light of the above and given the importance which the Planning Act 2008	 DPS (document 8.19)
	places on good design and sustainability, the Secretary of State needs to be satisfied that	 ES Chapter 4 Site Selection and

Table 3.2 Design compliance with relevant NPS's



NPS	Relevant Text	Where is this addressed
	energy infrastructure developments are sustainable and, having regard to regulatory and other constraints, are as attractive, durable, and adaptable (including taking account of natural hazards such as flooding) as they can be."	Consideration of Alternatives (document 6.1.4)
EN-1 Paragraph 4.7.5	To ensure good design is embedded within the project development, a project board level design champion could be appointed, and a representative design panel used to maximise the value provided by the infrastructure. Design principles should be established from the outset of the project to guide the development from conception to operation. Applicants should consider how their design principles can be applied post-consent.	 Section 5.3 (Design Champion) Section 5.2 (local design panel) DPS (document 8.19) (Design Principles and application of these Principles post-consent)
EN-1 Paragraphs 5.10.5 and 5.10.6	"Virtually all nationally significant energy infrastructure projects will have effects on the landscape. Projects need to be designed carefully, taking account of the potential impact on the landscape. Having regard to siting, operational and other relevant constraints the aim should be to minimise harm to the landscape, providing reasonable mitigation where possible and appropriate."	 Section 3.1 DPS (document 8.19) Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (document 6.1.4) ES LVIA (document 6.1.27) OLEMS (document 8.10)
EN-3 Paragraph 2.5.2	"Proposals for renewable energy infrastructure should demonstrate good design, particularly in respect of landscape and visual amenity, opportunities for co- existence/co-location with other marine and terrestrial uses, and in the design of the project to mitigate impacts such as noise and effects on ecology and heritage."	 DPS (document 8.19) Section 3.1 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (document 6.1.4) ES LVIA (document 6.1.27) OLEMS (document 8.10)

4 Design Approach

ſ

4.1 Key Project Elements and Design Processes

- 19. The Applicant has considered their approach to the design of each of the offshore and onshore elements in a holistic way. This is detailed in ES Chapter 4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (document reference 6.1.4). The chapter considers each offshore and onshore design element, its relationship to the other elements of the design as well as the consultation responses received to inform their optioneering works and ultimately refine the Project design to the Order limits.
- 20. Plate 4.1includes an overview of the onshore design development in relation to the Project's consultation phases, as this is where the local community and engagement has played a key role in shaping the Project.

4.2 Consultation Phases and Key design refinements

- 21. The Project has undertaken five phases of public consultation that have been key to the development of the design and the design principles (as outlined in the DPS, document 8.19) to date.
- 22. All components of the Project will be subject to detailed design and will be developed, constructed and operated in accordance with the outline documents submitted alongside the application (Parts 8 and 9 of the Application documents). In particular key documents that will inform the Project's detailed design and approach to the construction are:
 - Outline Design Principles Statement (DPS) (document reference 8.19)
 - Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (document reference 8.1);
 - Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) (document reference 8.15), Outline Travel Plan (TP) (document 8.16) and Outline Public Access Management Plan (PAMP) (document 8.17);
 - Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (OLEMS) (document reference 8.10); and
 - Outline Operational Light Emissions Management Plan (document reference 8.11).
- 23. The onshore above ground infrastructure is limited to; the potential ground raising of the TJB sites at landfall (subject to detailed design and engagement with the Environment Agency); link boxes along the onshore ECC (these are typically ground level with manhole-type covers) and the OnSS.
- 24. The OnSS is considered the only significant permanent above ground infrastructure (onshore) to which local community engagement for the detailed design phases is appropriate. This engagement will therefore be focussed on this infrastructure and will be achieved by the Design Review Process (DRP) as described in Section 5 of this report.
- 25. The consultation phases to date and how they have helped inform the design and development of the onshore infrastructure is outlined in Plate 4.1 and described in Table 4.1.



- 26. As discussed throughout this report, "Good design" has been at the forefront of decision making throughout the evolution of the Project; strongly influencing site selection and the design commitments and principles which the Applicant has been able to reach at this stage. Community engagement has been key to this development and as demonstrated in Plate 4.1 and Table 4.1 the Project has been very reactive to this feedback and the design of the project has been a collaborative process between the Project, Statutory and non-statutory stakeholders and the local communities.
- 27. For more information on each of the consultation phases refer to the Project's Consultation Report (document 5.1).

Project Component



Design Approach Document Document Reference: 8.18



Page 24 of 35 March 2024



Project Component







Table 4.1 Onshore Project Refinement and key Consultation Feedback in relation to design elements

	Landfall		Onshore ECC		OnSS, Landscaping & 400kV	
	Key Feedback	Key Refinements made following feedback	Key Feedback	Key Refinements made following feedback	Key Feedback	Key Refinements made following feedback
Phase 1 (Section 47) November 2022 Project launch	It was highlighted by Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust (LWT) that the Anberby Marsh Local Nature Reserve (LNR) will need to be assessed for potential impacts from the HDD. There were concerns around the impact of the landfall on the beach in relation to tourism and that the landfall area was located in the Lincolnshire Coastal Country Park (LCCP).	The Project committed to working with LWT to ensure the management of impacts on the ornithological features The refinements were made with the feedback in mind and the Project reinforced the commitment that they would be HDD-ing under the beach, Anderby Marsh LNR and Roman Bank road to avoid direct impacts on tourism and the LCCP.	Landowners & Members of the Public highlighted the presence of "running silts" within the central portion of the Onshore ECC search zone presented. Landowners & ClIrs highlighted concerns with the amount of Grade 1 & "Toft" Land that would be affected by this route.	It was noted that should the presence of running silts be verified by ground investigations this could alter the anticipated engineering and environmental considerations. An Alternative Route was therefore proposed. This route also affected less Grade 1 land than the original route. Both routes were taken forward for assessment.	At this early stage, the Project was in the early phases of the OnSS site selection and consultation was based on relatively wide search zones. The feedback from the community was primarily centred around visual impacts and how this will be mitigated within the local landscape and the Project explained that this will be done through further siting refinements and development of a landscaping plan.	Further environmental and engineering studies were undertaken to help refine the search zones for the PEIR assessments and Phase 2 Consultation. These refinements were made with LVIA as one of many driving factors to ensure the refined search zones reflected the feedback received.
Phase 1a (section 47) Jan 2022 Alternative Onshore ECC to Weston Marsh	This consultation was targete alternative route option	ed on the Onshore ECC Weston Marsh	Landowners & Members of the public were generally receptive to the proposed alternative route and concerns were focussed around potential impacts of noise and traffic and micro-siting of the alternative route option.	The Project undertook refinement works based on feedback for the two Weston Marsh onshore ECC Routes. Following the generally positive and receptive feedback to the alternative route it was agreed to take both route options to a point of equivalence in terms of consultation, survey data and assessment to help inform which route should be adopted.	This consultation was targeted alternative route option	on the Onshore ECC Weston Marsh
Phase 2 (Section 42) June/ July 2022 Section 42 Consultation on the PEIR	Concerns were focussed around the beach access shown passing in proximity to Anderby Creek Village. It was noted that a SSSI area of geological interest was located within the landfall zone. Concerns around the impacts of noise on the Anderby Marsh LNR.	The Project committed to no construction access to the beach and removed the access entirely from the project envelope. The Project committed to avoidance of the SSSI and this was embedded within the Project design. The Project undertook further detailed assessments and included the construction of a noise bund in the Landfall compound area (in the agricultural land west of Roman Bank road) The Project also noted that if the duct is to be "pushed" from the landward side, a linear compound would facilitate this work and therefore the project included a duct assembly compound at the landfall.	The key local feedback focussed on micro-siting of the route to optimise and minimise impacts on landowners. There was also feedback relating to concerns around impacts from traffic and transport on the local road network in particular traffic at Wainfleet. Landowner concerns were centred around agricultural drainage and soil management How would land parcels be accessed prior to the development of the haul road. It was highlighted that the site went through an unscheduled area of Archaeological interest – Slackholme Village.	The Project finalised their Ground Investigation campaign and environmental assessments and confirmed that the alternative route option would be taken forward. The Project undertook further transport optimisation studies following additional survey data and managed to avoid Wainfleet in its entirety. These studies also allowed for the inclusion of passing bays, widening of accesses and visibility splays to reduce potential impacts on traffic and transport. The Project committed to utilising trenchless techniques to avoid Slackholme village, with the entry/ exit pits to be informed archaeological investigation.	Key feedback in relation to LVIA was centred around the importance of the landscaping for the screening of the substation and to ensure the species comprise of native species. How would the Project champion biodiversity How is flood risk being taken account of in the siting of the OnSS.	The Project were able to refine the location of the OnSS following further studies and engagement in relation to flood risk and following the confirmation in August of the grid connection option being located in the vicinity of Weston marsh and following further engagement with the National Grid. Planting proposals were developed which considered offsite planting, the Project are committed to pursuing extensive offsite planting which would both provide effective screening for the OnSS and enhance the diversity of the local area.

August 2022 - Confirmation of Grid Connection at Weston Marsh



	Landfall		Onshore ECC			
Autumn (Section 42) Oct / Nov 2022 Section 42 Consultation on Project Refinements	Concerns around the use of the Roman Bank road by construction vehicles.	As a result of further engineering studies, refinements to the location of the Transition Joint Bays (TJBs) were made which reduced the overall proposed landfall footprint. It was clarified that the haul road between the A52 and the landfall will be the main construction access for the landfall works. The use of Roman Bank road will be limited to enabling works and the construction of the noise bund as this is seasonally constrained. A bell mouth will be constructed off Roman Bank Road into the landfall area and following completion of the HDD and reinstatement works, the bell mouth will be retained to allow for operational access to facilitate routine maintenance activities (anticipated to be 1 visit per annum).	Following the Autumn Consultation phase, the Project received feedback from landowners that the suitability of a number of accesses could be improved. It was raised as part of the Autumn Consultation phase that two of the proposed construction compounds could be refined to reduce severance of surrounding land.	This has resulted in the removal, addition, and re-location of a number of accesses. In some instances, the access has been amended to abut the extent of the publicly maintainable highway. The Project also undertook more detailed ground truthing site visits that helped inform the removal of some of the accesses and corroborate the refinements as proposed in the feedback. As a result, the Project relocated two construction compounds and were able to remove two construction compounds from the Project Design Envelope. In response to avoiding sensitive locations, a small number of passing places were re- designed or removed from the Project Boundary.	It was high of landowr instances t proposed of better align landowner prevent se agricultura It was also the scale a proposed (there was t potential in agricultura The communication receptive t proposals a proposed a relation to ensuring th species.	
Targeted (Section 42) Dec/ Jan 23/24 Targeted Section 42 Consultation on Project Refinements	No consultation responses in direct relation to site selection and consideration of alternatives a spart of the Winter Targeted consultation were received.					
Submission						



OnSS, Landscaping & 400kV

nlighted by a number ners that in some the landscaping areas could be adjusted to n with the

rship boundaries and everance of al land.

raised that due to and type of planting (see FAQs below), the possibility for mpacts on al drainage.

to the landscaping and species list and feedback in this was focussed on he inclusion of native As a result, the landscaping areas have been moved slightly to better align with landownership boundaries.

Where an IDB drain is present, a buffer of 9m is required for access by the IDBs for maintenance activities. In these instances, the planting strips were refined to accommodate this with an additional 1m buffer.

In addition, it was identified by the Project that the landscaping proposed may not allow access for maintenance activities related to the landscaping. As a result, the Project's Order limits now incorporate sufficient land to allow access for maintenance.



5 The Onshore Substation (OnSS) Design Review Process

5.1 The Design Review Process

- 28. The Design Review Process is a mechanism secured within the DCO to ensure the continued engagement with the local community following the DCO Application and through to detailed design.
- 29. The Design Review Process was initiated in early February 2024 (Annex A), the purpose of the DRP was discussed with the attending members of the Local Design Panel (Section 5.2) and the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the group were agreed. The Project utilised this initial phase to consult on the more architectural aspects of the design such as cladding colours and finishes. At this stage an indicative design and layout is used as a visual aid, however as the design develops during the Project's detailed design phase, the model will be updated accordingly to reflect the design decisions made and demonstrate adherence to the principles as outlined in the DPS and as agreed throughout the examination phase.
- 30. The slides and minutes of this meeting are included in Annex A.
- 31. The finalisation of design will take place post consent within the parameters secured within the DCO (and relevant plans). The Project commenced the design and consultation process in the pre-application stage, and this will continue to be developed throughout the examination, when the parameters and principles will be confirmed, with the detailed design being undertaken post consent.

5.2 Local Design Panel

- 32. The local Design Panel (Plate 5.1) is primarily comprised of the members who sat on the Project's OnSS Community Liaison Group (CLG). To ensure representation across the specialist disciplines a number of technical representatives (such as LVIA consultants) also sit on the panel to help facilitate discussion and provide an expert view on relevant design considerations. See Section 5 of this document for further information.
- 33. The Project is dedicated to working with the local community to develop the design of the OnSS, while there are certain areas of the design that the Project will not be able to consult on or, provide flexibility for as they are driven by other considerations such as: adherence to safety standards; technical constraints (size, type and suitability of equipment); legislative requirements; and interfaces with other key receptors (e.g. ecology and ornithology). However, where elements are not controlled by external constraints, the Applicant has committed to the design review process to ensure the continued participation of the local community, where the local knowledge and experience of the group will be essential to delivering a design that adheres to the key building block of "good design" as outlined in Table 3.1.
- 34. To ensure a holistic approach is taken, the Project have committed to appointing a Project Design Champion and establishing a Local Design Panel to lead the consultation and design review process.





Project Team (Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind employees and appointed consultants)

Stakeholders

Plate 5.1 The representatives on the Local Design Panel





Plate 5.2 Design Process timeline agreed at LDP1 Kick off meeting (Annex A)



5.2.1 Design Aspects and Design Review Panel Influence

- 35. The design aspects of the substation can be divided into two main types which will determine the level of involvement by the Design Review Panel. The topics fall into two main categories -
 - A) Design elements that are predominantly controlled by engineering constraints, technical standards and safety regulation. For certain design items, such as external equipment, there is likely to be little flexibility to influence the engineering design, however the Project will consult the local design panel on any decisions made to ensure these items can be considered in the context of those elements that can be influenced, such as the landscaping arrangements.
 - B) Aspects of the design that are more flexible and can be significantly influenced or customised by the input of the design panel, such as the colour and external appearances of buildings, fences, entrances, landscaping layout and planting selection, earth bunds, drainage systems, public rights of way and ecological mitigation.

5.3 Project Design Champion

- 36. In line with the NIC Design Principles, the Commission identified a need for championing of good design at board level on projects. The first National Infrastructure Assessment recommended that a board level design champion be appointed for every nationally significant infrastructure project.
- 37. The Applicant has therefore appointed David Few in the role of Design Champion for the Project, the Project Director for Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind.
- 38. David is a Senior Director with significant proven experience running/growing several substantial businesses and leading major projects across different infrastructure sectors in consultant, client, contractor and manufacturing roles, his background as Chartered Civil Engineer, Fellow of Institution of Civil Engineers.
- 39. The Design Champion is accountable for delivering coherent good design and holds the project team to account in terms of a macro vision of design. The Design Champion will guide and champion an iterative design process to test the best way of achieving the design principles as set out in this document.
- 40. The Design Champion will:
 - Act as a focal point for the coordination of good design for the Project's onshore substation;
 - Ensure good coordination with National Grid; and
 - Ensure good design continues to be prioritised and will provide a continual emphasis on the design vision throughout the process, holding the Project team accountable for delivering those design principles as set out in the DPS.
- 41. The Design Champion will be supported by the Engineering Manager to ensure that the Design Champion's vision is embedded in the core of the project team.



5.4 External Design Review

- 42. External design review panels are independent from the project team. The Project are liaising with external groups, such as 'The Design Review Panel'² who operate nationally within the UK. Such a group would be contracted to provide an impartial, multi-disciplinary, constructive feedback on the design. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) paragraph 133, recognises the outcome of such a process can be useful to decision makers.
- 43. The Project have committed to an External Design Review of the OnSS following the Project's application. The timeline of the external review was discussed with the LDP at the kick off meeting (LDP1) in February 2024 (Plate 5.2) and the timeline was proposed such that the review would take place following the initial kick off meeting, but in advance of the next meeting and so that the External Design Panel (EDP) could be present at the LDP2 meeting to provide an opportunity for the LDP and the EDP to discuss the outcomes of the EDP in the same forum .
- 44. The Applicant considers this timeline appropriate given the MDS provides sufficient allowance of design considerations and amends to be undertaken (such as those considerations as outlined in the Design Principles Document (DPD) (document reference 8.19)).

² <u>http://www.designreviewpanel.co.uk/</u>





Legend Onshore Order Limits

ZZ Connection Area

- Onshore Substation Footprint (HVAC)
- Landscaping Works incl Planting

— River

- Existing Road / Accesses
- ---- Existing Overhead Line

Figure 5.1: The Location of the OnSS, landscaping scheme and connection area



Woodland

01 Quercus petraea (Sessile oak) 02 Alnus glutinosa (Alder) 03 Tilia cordata (Small leaved Lime) 04 Salix alba (White Willow) 05 Betula pubescens (Downy Birch) 06 Populus nigra (Black poplar) 07 Populus tremula (Aspen) 08 Acer campestre (Field maple) 09 Prunus padus (Bird Cherry) 10 Salix caprea (Goat Willow) 11 Salix cinerea (Sallow) 12 Cornus sanguinea (Dogwood) 13 Viburnum opulus (Guelder Rose) 14 Ilex aquifolium (Holly) 1 5 Sambucus nigra (Elder) 16 Corylus avellana (Hazel)

Hedgerows

Crateagus monogyna (Hawthorn) Acer campestre (Field maple) Cornus sanguinea (Dogwood) Viburnum opulus (Guelder Rose) Ilex aquifolium (Holly) Prunus padus (Bird Cherry) Sambucus nigra (Elder) Quercus petraea (Sessile oak) Pyrus sp. (Pear) Hippophae rhamnoides (Sea Buckthorn) Corylus avellana (Hazel

Plate 5.3 Species identified for planting scheme (as provided as part of the Autumn Consultation)

16

Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind

Annex A Local Design Panel Meeting 1 (LDP 1)



This Annex includes the following documents:

- Local Design Panel Meeting 1 (LDP 1) Presentation (January 2024)
- Local Design Panel Meeting 1 (LDP 1) Minutes (January 2024)


Community Liaison Group & Local Design Panel

Chris Jenner Garrett Roche Roisin Alldis Jo Phillips Andy Acum Jenny Marsden



Jan 2024



Agenda: Surfleet

- Terms of reference
- Introductions
- Project Update
 - Consultation overview
 - Category 3 communications
 - CBF boundary and themes review
- Timeline

Local Design Panel

- The Design Review Process
- The Onshore Substation
- Consultation & Feedback
- Design Considerations & Design Scope
- Timeline & Next Steps

AOB



Terms of Reference and Aims

Our Aims ...

To involve key local stakeholders in the design and development of the Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind project (landfall, onshore cable route and substation) through presentations, discussions and planned workshop activities.

To act as a two-way communication channel between local communities and the project team.

To help foster local involvement and ownership of the project.

To facilitate focused discussions and ensure attendees can make the most out of the CLG's – it is intended for these groups to be focused on concerns/ issues / thoughts relative to their specific **local area**.



Approval of previous minutes



Any comments or queries prior to the meeting?

Declaration of Conflicts of Interests.



彩

bringing the electricity schools and to the connection print for most of bring constants

NAMES OF BRIDE

Introductions

22 BOWERNO



elegio he la



Consultation Overview



The project team have worked to engage local communities through extensive consultation

2022-2024 overview



16 public engagement events



8 webinars



1491 Attendees at engagement events



107 written responses



74 phone calls



246 Completed feedback forms





- We have received a large number of pro-actively supportive responses and positive feedback on our consultations
- Themes of interest primarily related to onshore matters such as noise, visual impacts and traffic
- Targeted consultation closed on Jan 19th
- What is the current sentiment in your community?

Community Benefit Fund



Community Benefit Fund (CBF) - early proposals

1. Aim of the fund - to bring long-lasting value to the communities closest to our project

2. Proposed themes of focus - themes we hope to support in the local community.

3. Eligibility criteria - sets out which applications get through the first sift. Ensures the funding is in line with ODOW standards and those of our partners.

4. Award criteria – outlines how the applications will be scored to ensure that the projects with the highest impact and closest to the project are more likely to get funding

5. Fund administration – we will likely work with a third party to administer the fund
6. Lessons learnt – we want to incorporate learnings from other developers and feedback gained from the community consultation events.









Proposed themes for the CBF





Proposed eligibility criteria and exclusions for the CBF

Eligible

- Have a constitution outlining your objectives and rules for your organisation
- Have a bank account or credit union account set up in the organization's name.

Projects must be:

- Within the eligibility zone as outlined on our map
- Aligned with our themes

Excluded

- Religious organisations, trade unions and political parties
- Promotion of any kind of discrimination (ages, sexes, ethnicities, or minority groups)
- DRAFT Requests for funding that benefit a single person Requests for funding to pay for calari
 - Requests for funding to pay for salaries or other ongoing running costs (e.g. rent)
 - Recipients that promote illegal or unsafe activities
 - Retrospective funding or existing loans or debts
 - Requests for funding that relate to public infrastructure
 - Members-only sports clubs or facilities unless they are open to the general public



Award evaluation criteria themes

Proposed themes that will influence which projects are selected

- 1. Proximity to project
- 2. Relevance to community
- 3. Level of impact
- 4. Ability to deliver results





Community Benefit Fund: Proposed Boundary

- Landfall and northern part of cable route
- Red line shows the 80m corridor
- Yellow line shows a 3km distance from the cable corridor
- If a parish council boundary comes within 3km of the cable route, projects across the whole Parish will be eligible to apply to the fund





Community Benefit Fund Proposed Boundary

- Cable route
- Red line shows the 80m corridor
- Yellow line shows a 3km distance from the cable corridor





Community Benefit Fund Proposed Boundary

- ODOW substation site
- Red line shows 80m corridor
- Yellow line shows a 3km distance from the cable corridor
- Red line shows a 5km distance from the substation site





Community Benefit Fund Proposed Boundary

- ODOW substation site
- Red line shows 80m corridor
- Yellow line shows a 3km distance from the cable corridor
- Red line shows a 5km distance from the substation site





Local Design Panel





The Onshore Substation Design Review Process

- Local Design Panel first meeting in Jan, share preferences
- External Design Review Independent Architects, will undertake a design review from Q^2
- Engineers need to assess technical requirements
- Local Design panel will be consulted as the design progresses

Maximum Design Scenario

- "Worst case scenario"
- Defined based on two potential technologies still under consideration that will impact the footprint and maximum heights of buildings:
 - Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS)
 - Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS)



CLG

members

Functional requirements of a substation

The project aims to generate renewable electricity and export it to the National Grid, which is process at the 400kV ODOW Substation.

The substation area indicated enables the installation and operation of either an AIS (Air Insulated Switchgear) or GIS (Gas Insulated Switchgear) type substation*. From a transmission perspective, AIS or GIS transmits the power generated offshore to meet the grid requirements. The main considerations for the substation are as follows:

- Insulation Medium: The AIS uses air as the insulation medium between conductors and equipment, whereas the GIS employs a specialist gas in modular units. GIS equipment offers reduced footprint and maintenance requirements. The switchgear in AIS is outdoors, and GIS is installed indoors and requires additional building.
- **Size and Space:** The AIS substations require a larger footprint, whereas the GIS substations are compact and space-efficient. Subject to equipment and design, the GIS Convertor Hall(s) could be up to 16.5m in height. *These maximum parameters are represented on the visualisations*.

*The electrical system design and technology from the Supply chain will impact the selection of the substation.





Onshore substation

- Following a **decision from the National Grid** that our connection point would be in the vicinity of Weston Marsh, we were able to remove Lincs Node from our Project Scope.
- We have subsequently selected **Surfleet Marsh** as the optimum site for our substation taking into account multiple factors including engineering and environmental considerations.
- There will also be a need for a National Grid substation and associated enabling works within the vicinity of the project's onshore substation which we will connect to using 400kV underground cables which will run between our project substation and that which will be developed by National Grid Electricity Transmission





Onshore substation • VP4 (Views from PRoW)



Proposed **AIS** Onshore Substation (AIS OnSS) Indicative Model with Mitigation Planting (15 Years Growth) Viewpoint 4: Macmillan Way at Surfleet Bank





Proposed **GIS** Onshore Substation (AIS OnSS) Indicative Model with Mitigation Planting (15 Years Growth) Viewpoint 4: Macmillan Way at Surfleet Bank







Onshore substation • VP3 (Views from the A16)



Proposed AIS Onshore Substation (AIS OnSS) Indicative Model with Mitigation Planting (15 Years Growth) Viewpoint 4: Macmillan Way at Surfleet Bank

Feedback on Landscaping



- There were concerns around the use of "deciduous trees", what about views In Winter?
 - The Project have commissioned a "Winter Photography" campaign which is being undertaken this season.
 - The woodland shelterbelts will be approximately 20m wide which will ensure that even without leaves they will provide a screen.
 - In the detailed design of the shelterbelts some evergreen trees, hedges and understorey shrubs will be included to add to the screening effect in winter.
- Comments on the inclusion of native species.
 - The planting design will always prioritise native species, but also with thought and consideration given to ensuring the planting will be resilient to climate change.
- It was noted by landowners that the landscaping areas proposed could be adjusted to better align with the landownership boundaries
 - As a result, the landscaping areas have been moved slightly to better align with landownership boundaries.
- It was highlighted that there was the possibility for potential impacts on agricultural drainage from the planting.
 - The Project has included for drainage works within the order limits to ensure existing land drainage is not impacted.



Landscaping - What is the aim?

From our feedback to date it has become clear that the **screening of the substation** is the desired outcome for the local communities.

This is why the Project have developed such extensive planting proposals – not only are we able to provide an effective screen, but we are able to enhance the overall landscape and biodiversity of the Surfleet area.

- Do you feel this approach is line with feedback received from the local community?
- Do you feel that other approaches should be considered?



Cumulative Impacts



A cumulative assessment including Visualisations (based on an indicative location within the connection area and typical parameters) will be included in the DCO application documents.

- Noting the location of the **Connection Area** (the *indicative search area for the National Grid substation*) relative the Project substation the planting strips will be an effective screen for those viewpoints that would be affected by both of these infrastructures.
- The cumulative Visualisations will be based on both VP4 & VP5 on Macmillan Way

Design Considerations: LDP Scope

Design Influence	Design Element	How is it determined?	Factors considered / to consider	Options
Consideration	Building position and orientation	Predominantly controlled by operational requirements of the site layout	Minimise land-take and landscape and visual impacts, inter relationships with the grid connection and 400kV cable corridor	Limited options, however open to feedback.
Consideration	Material	Predominantly controlled by technical and commercial feasibility	Operational, electrical safety and fire standards	
Scope (1)	Colour	Aesthetics and cost	Minimise visual impacts	Consultation with LDP within the range of commercially viable & available options
Scope (2)	Cladding	Aesthetics and cost	Minimise visual impacts	
Scope (3)	Roof Shape	Operational requirements, Aesthetics and cost	Minimise visual impacts	
Scope (4)	Landscaping	LVIA – Mitigating against visual impacts	Minimise visual impacts, enhance biodiversity,	



Design Consideration: Material

The key technical requirements of the materials to be used in the construction of the converter buildings are set out below;

- **Strong** enough to form robust and secure large-scale structures;
- Fire resistant and able to withstand high temperatures without the structural integrity of the material being compromised;
- Resistant to severe weather conditions, including high winds, water ingress and heat waves;
- Forming surfaces and joints that are completely **impermeable to water**;
- Suitable to form the large spans and surfaces required to construct large structures;
- Sufficiently durable to withstand the impacts of a 35 year lifecycle;
- **Modular** to reduce the time for installation, provide aesthetics and reduce the building's carbon footprint; and
- Low maintenance.



Material Consideration: Steel

Advantages

- Robust material that is fire resistant, very low maintenance and durable.
- Relatively low-cost material that is available from local manufacturers in the UK.
- Large and lightweight and can be readily and quickly assembled on-site.
- Large scale agricultural and industrial sheds made from sheet metal are a common feature in rural landscapes.
- Options for recycled steel
- Complete cladding system
- Insulated sheet metal panels last beyond the 35-year lifecycle of the converter buildings.
- The colour range available is extensive, with different types of finish available, making colour matching to local contexts possible.

Disadvantages

- Sheet metal can present a reflective surface if the **appropriate finishes and coatings** are not applied.
- The extraction of raw materials and production of sheet metal reduces the sustainability of this material, especially if also imported from overseas.
- Cladding panels could look a bit tardy toward the end of their design life. Thus, routing checks, cleaning and maintenance is required.



Design Scope: Colour









Colours in the landscape







Colours in the landscape







Design Scope: Cladding

Appearance of materials, in terms of colour, texture and reflectiveness.

Trapezoidal Vs Smooth Architectural wall rib





Design Scope: Roof Shape

Monopitch





Pitched







Flat






Design Scope: Landscaping







Planting proposals – Increasing biodiversity, decreasing visual impacts, flood reduction and capturing carbon





Up to 130,000 trees and hedgerows would be added to the Lincolnshire landscape.



Approx 19 hectares would be planted, equivalent to 27 football fields with long term management plan.

Approx 1.6 miles of Hedgerow containing diverse species that support bats, birds and other species.









130 Biodiversity Action Plan species associated with hedges: Lichens, fungi and reptiles.

Bank vole, harvest mouse and hedgehog all nest and feed in hedgerows alongside birds including; blue tit, yellowhammer and whitethroat.





Suggested species for planting









Alnus glutinosa (Alder)





Tilia cordata (Small leaved Lime) Salix alba (White Willow)



Betula pubescens (Downy Birch)



Populus nigra (Black poplar)



Populus tremula (Aspen)



Acer campestre (Field maple)



Prunus padus (Bird Cherry)



Salix caprea (Goat Willow)



Corylus avellana (Hazel)



Salix cinerea (Sallow)

Hedgerows

Crateagus monogyna (Hawthorn) Acer campestre (Field maple) Cornus sanguinea (Dogwood) Viburnum opulus (Guelder Rose) llex aquifolium (Holly) Prunus padus (Bird Cherry) Sambucus nigra (Elder) Quercus petraea (Sessile oak) Pyrus sp. (Pear) Hippophae rhamnoides (Sea Buckthorn) Corylus avellana (Hazel)



Cornus sanguinea (Dogwood)

"We have a mixed native hedge at the rear of our garden. 10 years since planting (next March). It is in excess of 12 feet high and is cut back by about 5 feet every winter. I would expect the planting to be an effective screen before 15 years (we have hawthorn, field maple, wild privet, wild rose, blackthorn plus several other species)" Autumn Consultation Feedback Form



Viburnum opulus (Guelder Rose)



llex aquifolium (Holly)

Sambucus nigra (Elder)

Example: Lowland deciduous mixed woodland













Timeline and next steps









.

- Contraction of the second management



the second state



Minutes of Meeting.

Meeting title	Community Liaison Group – Substation		
Location	Tonic 44 Community Hub, Surfleet		
Date/ time	Wednesday 31 January 2024		
Originator	ODOW		
Attendees	Andrew Acum – ODOW – AA Roisin Alldis – ODOW – RA Chris Jenner – ODOW – CJ Jenny Marsden – ODOW – JM Jo Phillips – ODOW – JP Garrett Roche – ODOW – GR David Brown – Boston Borough Council – DB James Cantwell - Boston Borough Council / Sutterton PC – JC Chris Cropley – Fosdyke PC - CC Sam Dewar (via Teams) - Boston Borough Council – SD Kevin Gillespie (via Teams) - LincoInshire County Council – KGi Kerry Gratton – Fosdyke PC - KG Neil McBride (via Teams) – LincoInshire County Council – NM Alan Mowton – Fosdyke PC / Landowner - AM Ian Pennington – Weston PC / Landowner - IP		
Apologies	None		
Purpose of meeting	 To involve key local stakeholders in the design and development of the Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind project (landfall, onshore cable route and substation) through presentations, discussions and planned workshop activities. To act as a two-way communication channel between local communities and the project team. To help foster local involvement and ownership of the project. 		



	1.	Chair's welcome, terms of reference and introductions
		CJ opened the meeting and attendees introduced themselves.
		The group was reminded of the terms of reference.
		The minutes of the last meeting were already approved and available on the website.
	2.	Consultation Overview The project team has worked to engage local communities through extensive consultation.
		During the 15 months of 2023-2024, the project has delivered:
		 16 public engagement events 8 webinars 1491 attendees at engagement events 107 written responses 74 phone calls 246 Completed feedback forms
		The project has received a large number of supportive responses and positive feedback on the consultations.
		Themes of interest primarily related to onshore matters such as noise, visual impacts and traffic.
		Targeted consultation closed on Jan 19 th .
	3.	Category 3 Communications
		Category 3 letters were sent out before Christmas. This is a statutory process and the letters were sent to people who may have an interest in land which may be indirectly affected by the project, e.g. by noise, dust, etc.



4	4.	CBF Boundary and Themes Review
		The aim of the fund is to bring long-lasting value to the communities closest to the project.
		The team has proposed four themes of focus - themes the project hopes to support in the local community.
		Proposed eligibility criteria have been drafted to set out which applications get through the first sift. This ensures the funding is in line with ODOW standards and those of its partners.
		Draft award criteria outline how the applications will be scored to ensure that the projects with the highest impact and closest to the project are more likely to get funding.
		It is likely that the project will appoint a third party to administer the fund.
		The project wants to incorporate learnings from other developers and feedback gained from the community consultation events.
		The fund will be launched once consent has been granted and FID has been taken (estimated to be 2025). In the meantime, ODOW will look to fund a small number of more strategic projects, more likely with larger organisations (like the Boston Woods Trust example) as opposed to a larger number of grass- roots projects during the phase before the CBF is launched. ODOW is seeking suggestions therefore for organisations that are active within the themes presented to explore creation of projects in the run up to CBF launch.
		CF Themes The proposed themes for the CBF are:
		1. Nature positive



2. STEM and skills
3. Sustainable enterprise
4. Community health and well-being



	It is envisaged that CBF support will also include volunteering and staff engagement.
	IP asked if solar panels and batteries for Weston Village Hall would qualify. JM said eligibility would be covered later in the presentation. IP said that the hall is used to provide a lot of activities for the local community but heating costs have risen dramatically.
	Draft Eligibility Criteria It will be necessary for the projects to meet certain criteria. These are being explored, but early suggestions include:
	 Have a constitution outlining objectives and rules for the organisation.
	 Have a bank account or credit union account set up in the organisation's name.
	- Be within the eligibility zone
	- Be aligned with CBF themes
	Exclusions It was proposed that the following exclusions would likely apply to CBF funding:
	 Religious organisations, trade unions and political parties
	 Promotion of any kind of discrimination (ages, sexes, ethnicities, or minority groups)
	 Requests for funding that benefit a single person
	 Requests for funding to pay for salaries or other ongoing running costs (e.g. rent)



 Recipients that promote illegal or unsafe activities
- Retrospective funding or existing loans or debts
 Requests for funding that relate to public infrastructure
 Members-only sports clubs or facilities unless they are open to the general public
CC asked if parish councils would be excluded under the "political parties" exclusion. JM said that parish councils were not political parties.
JC asked if capital projects would be excluded. JM said that they may fall under the "bricks and mortar" exclusion if there was no evidence of a source of maintenance or revenue budget.
JC asked if "public infrastructure" exclusion would exclude wild areas next to public footpaths maintained by local parish councils, playing field committees, etc. JM said the definition may need amending, as it is meant to apply to capital projects rather than public footpaths, cycle paths, wild meadows, etc.
JC said a lot of other groups such as sports clubs, PTAs, etc. won't have constitutions and would therefore be excluded. He suggested a way around this may be to give parish councils ringfenced funds that they could distribute to worthy groups in their parishes.
Draft Award Evaluation Criteria In order to help select the most impactful projects, criteria such as the following would most likely be applied:



	1. Proximity to project
	2. Relevance to community
	3. Level of impact
	4. Ability to deliver results
	Proposed Boundary The initial "yellow line" boundary was drawn 3km either side of the cable route and 5 km around the substation.
	However, it is recognised that this is a very rural area and people living in the 3km zone may access services (such as a village hall or sports field) which are outside of the yellow line boundary.
	Therefore, if part of a parish lies within the boundary, then the whole parish will be eligible to apply for funding.
	JC said he felt the boundary was as fair as it can be.
	DB said the cable route appeared to follow the pylon route and asked why they couldn't share a trench.
	CJ said the Offshore Transmission Network Review (OTNR) determined the ODOW cable route and connection point. This was decided by National Grid. The Grimsby to Walpole pylons is a different National Grid project completely independent of ODOW with a different form and function. National Grid have some public events coming up where the public can find out more about their project. IP asked if the CBF would be a percentage of the whole project budget. JM said it wasn't known yet but would probably be benchmarked against other CBFs.
	JC asked whether there would be democratic oversight of grant distribution. JM said the fund would be administered by an independent third party – there are numerous foundations who do this type of



-
oute ere a he an



5.	Onshore Substation Design Review Process	
	This was the first meeting of the Local Design Panel t outline the remit of the group and the elements of the substation that can be influenced by the group.	0
	There will also be an External Design Review – by independent architects from Q2 2024.	
	Engineers need to assess technical requirements but the Local Design panel will be consulted as the design progresses.	t
	Maximum Design Scenario This is based on a "worst case scenario." The designs are based on two potential technologie still under consideration that will impact the footprint and maximum heights of buildings:	≥s †
	Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS)Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS)	
	Functional requirements of a substation	
	The project aims to generate renewable electricity and export it to the National Grid, via the 400kV ODOW Substation.	
	The substation area indicated enables the installation and operation of either an AIS (Air Insulated Switchgear) or GIS (Gas Insulated Switchgear) type substation. From a transmission perspective, AIS or G transmits the power generated offshore to meet the grid requirements. The main considerations for the substation are as follows:	in iS
	Insulation Medium: The AIS uses air as the insulation medium between conductors and equipment, whereas the GIS employs a specialist gas in modular units. GIS equipment offers reduced footprint and maintenance requirements. The switchgear in AIS is outdoors, and GIS is installed indoors and requires additional building.	r
	Size and Space: The AIS substations require a larger	



	footprint, whereas the GIS substations are compact and space-efficient. Subject to equipment and design, the GIS Convertor Hall(s) could be up to 16.5m in height. These maximum parameters are represented on the visualisations.
	Studies are engineering work needs to be undertaken to determine whether AIS or GIS will be used.
	JC asked whether there was any danger to local residents. He said this was probably the question that most residents would want reassurance on. GR said that safety was of paramount importance and was designed into the proposal through a rigorous process of safety distances, technology selection, and separation.



	It was shared by DW that onshore substations are not a new concept, it is a tried and trusted technology built to National Grid specification, the same as numerous other substations all around the country. There will also be an ongoing operations and maintenance programme for the building, equipment and grounds.
	IP asked if AIS had a bigger footprint. GR said that GIS has a smaller footprint, but GIS has taller buildings.
	CC asked that although it is not new technology, had this layout and type of cable route been done before. CJ said that there are over 2,000 offshore wind turbines around the UK, and all wind farms are fundamentally the same configuration – offshore generation, radial connection, underground cable, substation and then connection into the 400KV network.
	CC asked if there were any examples of feedback from other projects. CJ said that the team had learnt a lot from Triton Knoll and Viking Link . CJ said that GR had also worked on Triton Knoll. GR said that he had worked on Triton Knoll as well as power generation in general for 20 years with the last 10-15 years in offshore wind and all schemes were very similar. CC asked if this was essentially a "run of the mill" project. GR said that the main difference between projects was size and power generation, but the basic principles were the same.
	CC asked if any residents' lives were really affected by these schemes. GR said that from a technical point of view they weren't. CJ said that the project had received a lot of feedback from the five phases of consultation and the public events regarding Triton Knoll and Viking Link which the team has been able to learn from. One example of learning led to the ODOW definition of the CBF boundaries. Other learning points had been around traffic, access areas, speed limits, etc. He added that it was an ODOW principle to always try and do things better than before. Another good example was engagement with local farmers – they know the land and understand the soils and this knowledge guided



the route selection. Another important aspect is soil reinstatement and a lot had been learnt from Triton Knoll, Viking Link and local farmers. GR added that the whole point of the consultation events, leaflets, CLGs and meetings was to listen and learn from local people.
IP asked whether National Grid would have more capacity if they buried their cables. CJ said that would be a question for National Grid. IP asked if Triton Knoll and Viking Link were bigger projects than Outer Dowsing. CJ said that Triton Knoll was approximately half the size of Outer Dowsing, whereas Viking Link was a transmission project rather than a generation project, moving electricity between the UK and Denmark. Viking Link is an HVDC project requiring a convertor station at the end whereas ODOW is an HVAC project which wouldn't require a convertor station.
DB said that he and JC were frequently receiving emails from a couple about Viking Link trucks on the main roads and they had suffered abuse and threats from lorry drivers. He wanted to know what procedures ODOW would have in place to prevent this happening on their project. CJ said he was horrified to hear this. In terms of traffic management and management of contractors, the project will be submitting a number of outline plans with its DCO application, that set out protocols, standards, working time hours and guidance. Viking Link was built under a different consenting regime; the Town and Country Planning Act rather than a Development Consent Order. In terms of the number of measures and procedures that have to be in place, the bar is much higher for a DCO and there will be a significant number of measures used to manage contractors.
GR said that on Triton Knoll he would personally investigate any complaints and follow up with the contractor.
JC said he would like houses along the traffic route to be mailed a leaflet explaining what was happening along with contact details in case there were any



	issues. JM said that there would be a local community liaison officer appointed prior to construction to personally deal with any issues raised by residents.
	Onshore substation Following a decision from the National Grid that the connection point would be in the vicinity of Weston Marsh, Lincs Node was removed from the Project Scope in August 2023.
	Surfleet Marsh was subsequently selected as the optimum site for the substation taking into account multiple factors including engineering and environmental considerations.
	There will also be a need for a National Grid substation and associated enabling works within the vicinity of the project's onshore substation which ODOW will connect to using 400kV underground cables running between the project substation and that which will be developed by National Grid Electricity Transmission
	Feedback on Landscaping
	There were previously concerns around the use of "deciduous trees" and views in winter. The Project has commissioned a "Winter Photography" campaign which is being undertaken at the moment.
	The woodland shelterbelts will be approximately 20m wide which will ensure that even without leaves they will provide a screen.
	In the detailed design of the shelterbelts some evergreen trees, hedges and understorey shrubs will be included to add to the screening effect in winter.



	Comments on the inclusion of native species.
	The planting design will always prioritise native species, but also with thought and consideration given to ensuring the planting will be resilient to climate change.
	It was noted by landowners that the landscaping areas proposed could be adjusted to better align with the landownership boundaries. As a result, the landscaping areas have been moved slightly to better align with landownership boundaries.
	It was previously highlighted that there was the possibility for potential impacts on agricultural drainage from the planting. The project has included for drainage works within the order limits to ensure existing land drainage is not impacted.
	Landscaping - What is the aim? From feedback to date it had become clear that the screening of the substation is the desired outcome for the local communities.
	This project has developed extensive planting proposals – not only are to provide an effective screen, but also to enhance the overall landscape and biodiversity of the Surfleet area.
	IP said he agreed with the landscaping argument but pigeons were a big problem for farmers and additional trees may exacerbate this. JP said that this had to be balanced against the visual and environmental benefits of planting. The planting would also be shelter belts, rather than dense woodland, but the project would take on board the feedback.
	CJ said that the process was iterative, and the final design will consider balance between agricultural and landscaping requirements.



Post-consent, the landscaping then has to be approved by the local planning authority in consultation with the county council. The point of the local design group is to understand these concerns and suggestions and try and incorporate them into the proposals.
Cumulative Impacts A cumulative assessment including visualisations (based on an indicative location within the connection area and typical parameters) will be included in the DCO application documents.
 Noting the location of the Connection Area (the indicative search area for the National Grid substation) relative the project substation – the planting strips will be an effective screen for those viewpoints that would be affected by both of these infrastructures. The cumulative visualisations will be based on both VP4 & VP5 on Macmillan Way
JP said that there are very few points where it would be possible to see both the ODOW and National Grid substations, but they would be visible from the elevated points on the Macmillan Way, and this was the focus of the concept of the cumulative impact and this has been incorporated into the study. After 10-15 years it is possible to effectively screen the ODOW substation which means it can be removed from the cumulative impact.
NM asked if the National Grid pylons would be included in the assessment. JP said that the project has to put together an assessment based on Best Available Information. As the pylon route is not yet known, this would be picked up later with an update.
DB asked why the project couldn't connect at Anderby. CJ said that the connection point is decided by National Grid, not ODOW.



	DB asked whether ODOW had spoken to National Grid. CJ said that the project had been speaking to National Grid on a regular basis for the last couple of years regarding connecting to the network, but the project does not need new overhead lines to connect. DB asked how it would connect. CJ said that the project would connect into the existing overhead lines via the ODOW and National Grid substations, and ODOW will be supplying power into the Grid before the Grimsby to Walpole scheme is developed.	
	JC said that when the ODOW project began, there was no talk of the National Grid project. He now has three national energy projects in his ward. He felt that people were getting fed up with energy projects in their area, although ODOW had handled their scheme well.	
	Design Consideration: Material	
	 The key technical requirements of the materials to be used in the construction of the converter buildings are; Strong enough to form robust and secure large-scale structures; Fire resistant and able to withstand high temperatures without the structural integrity of the material being compromised; Resistant to severe weather conditions, including high winds, water ingress and heat waves; Forming surfaces and joints that are completely impermeable to water; Suitable to form the large spans and surfaces required to construct large structures; Sufficiently durable to withstand the impacts of a 35-year lifecycle; Modular to reduce the time for installation, provide aesthetics and reduce the building's carbon footprint; and Low maintenance. 	



	Material Consideration: Steel Steel has always come out as the most appropriate material for these types of buildings.
	 Advantages Robust material that is fire resistant, very low maintenance and durable. Relatively low-cost material that is available from local manufacturers in the UK. Large and lightweight and can be readily and quickly assembled on-site. Large scale agricultural and industrial sheds made from sheet metal are a common feature in rural landscapes. Options for recycled steel Complete cladding system Insulated sheet metal panels last beyond the 35-year lifecycle of the converter buildings. The colour range available is extensive, with different types of finish available, making colour matching to local contexts possible.
	Disadvantages
	 Sheet metal can present a reflective surface if the appropriate finishes and coatings are not applied. The extraction of raw materials and production of sheet metal reduces the sustainability of this material, especially if also imported from overseas. Cladding panels could look a bit tardy toward the end of their design life. Thus, routine checks, cleaning and maintenance are required.
	Colour The aim is to minimise the visual impact and blend into the local environment and its natural colour palettes.
	This can be discussed in more detail at future meetings.



	JC asked if much brick would be used. JP said not on the main building. JM said that she had been looking into the possibility of using "bee bricks" where possible.	
	Cladding Appearance of materials, in terms of colour, texture and reflectiveness.	
	Trapezoidal vs smooth architectural wall rib.	
	Roof Shape The options that can be influenced are:	
	 Monopitch Pitched – keeps the height of the eaves lower Flat – looks more industrial 	
	Design Scope: Landscaping & Planting Proposals	
	 Increasing biodiversity, decreasing visual impacts, flood reduction and capturing carbon. Increasing biodiversity, decreasing visual impacts, flood reduction and capturing carbon. Many thousands of trees and hedgerows would be added to the Lincolnshire landscape. Up to 19 hectares would be planted, equivalent to 27 football fields with long term management plan. Up to 1.6 miles of Hedgerow containing diverse species that support bats, birds and other species. 130 Biodiversity Action Plan species associated with hedges: Lichens, fungi and reptiles. Bank vole, harvest mouse and hedgehog all nest and feed in hedgerows alongside birds including; blue tit, yellowhammer and whitethroat. 	
	JM said that there were up to19 hectares of planting involved in the whole project, increasing biodiversity and creating natural corridors. CJ said there were	



JC asked if there were any Tree Preservation Orders. CJ said there weren't any around the substation, but there was one spot on the 60km cable route were there are a couple of trees with TPOs.

Timeline

The project is still on course to submit its Development Consent Order by the end of Q1 2024. Once submitted, the Planning Inspectorate has 28 days to validate it.

Once accepted, there will then be an examination period, probably in H2 2024. This is a participatory process where all residents and stakeholders can attend and/or submit questions. The Inspectors will then cross-examine the team on the plans. If approved, a consent decision would be made in 2025, with constructions starting earliest 2026 (subject to consent) and commercial operations commencing in 2030.

In terms of the Local Design Group, consultation on landscaping proposals and planting had been undertaken as part of the Autumn Consultation. Prior to the next group meeting in Summer 2024, the winter photography campaign would be completed, along with substation visuals and feedback from the External Design Review.



	6.	AOB		
		NB asked if there were any plans to work with or CBFs in the substation area. JM said that she ha been looking at what could be done collabora within the different project time frames. JP added that the work that ODOW had done of design principles had been agreed with other projects which sets out a template and some do of co-ordination for what comes forward for mitigation planting between the different project even though they may be at slightly different ph CJ said he was happy to discuss this further with LPAs.		
KG said that scheme at important t grow and a case of pla strategy of otherwise it building, ha the charac of screenin been little t		KG said that the scheme at both important to ma grow and achie case of planting strategy of inclu- otherwise it wou building, howev the character of of screening, po- been little tree of	the management of the planting th establishment and long-term is nake sure that the trees establish and neve the objectives, otherwise it's just a ng and replanting. He also thought the cluding offsite planting was good as build be difficult to screen such a large ever care must be taken not to change of the area through the overplanting particularly where there has traditionally e cover.	
	IP asked if there Grid substation be made. CJ so Grid.		e was any news on where the National would go or when a decision would aid this was a question for National	
	7.	Chair's closing remarks and next steps / next meeting		
		The next CLG is expected to be in the summer but Jenny Marsden will be in touch with details nearer the date. his email will come from contact@outerdowsing.com, please ensure it is added to safe mailing lists.		
Meeting Protocol				
Distribute agenda before meeting		fore meeting	Fix responsibilities for each item	
Start on time			Finish on time	
Set out your ground rules		ules	Publish minutes / actions	



Stick to the agenda

Continuous improvement